

newcleo technical deck

04 June 2024 – Università degli Studi di Palermo









SFR experience largely transferrable to LFR

About 20 sodium-cooled fast reactors (SFR) have already been operating, some since the 1950s, and some supplying electricity commercially.

France has operated the largest SFR: Superphenix, 3000MWth, 1240MWe.

Unfortunately, the development of the SFR technology has not yet devised a commercial reactor economically competitive with the LWRs.

Fortunately, SFR experience can be almost entirely used for the development of the LFR which uses a similar fuel, functionally behave in a similar way, presents similar thermal-hydraulic and mechanical aspects.







SPX1



One out of four intermediate loops of SPX1

Simplicity of LFR-AS-200

LFR-AS-200 enhances safety while dispensing of hitherto classical critical components

Components/systems no more needed	Rationale for elimination	
Intermediate loop	Lead properties	(
Above core structure	Use of FAs with extended stem	
In-vessel refuelling machine	Use of FAs with extended stem	(
"Deversoir" or equivalent component	SG-outlet window at top of the SG	1
Diagrid	Self-sustaining core	1
Strongback	Core supported by the roof via the barrel	I
"LIPOSO", hydraulic connection pump to diagrid	Pumps in the hot collector	I
Flywheel on the pump system	Use of rotating lead inertia	
Core shielding assemblies	Use of the ASIV	1
Blanket assemblies	No net Pu generation	



Impact

- Compact Reactor Building, easy operation, cost reduction (about 30%) of the cost of NSSS in a SFR)
- Reduced diameter of the RV, no need of its displacement for refuelling
- Elimination of a mechanically critical component to be operated in opaque medium
- Reduced diameter of the RV, reduced vibration risk
- No need of a component difficult to inspect
- No need of a component difficult to inspect. No structure fixed to the RV
- Elimination of a mechanically critical component
- Smaller footprint on the reactor roof
- Reduced diameter of the RV, simplicity
- Reduced diameter of the RV, simplicity, increased proliferation resistance

The STSG-Pump assembly, a key for compactness

From:

long IHX (•) with top **inlet** window and bottom **outlet** window



short Spiral-tube SG with bottom **inlet** (•) and top **outlet** and integrated primary pump

ADVANTAGES:

- No collectors inside the vessel •
- Short, compact SG, reduced RV height •
- **No "Deversoir"**, reduced RV diameter
- No risk of steam release deep in the • melt and large lead displacement
- No risk of cover gas entrance into the core



To:



The Spiral-Tube Steam Generator of *new***cleo's LFR**

The Spiral-Tube Steam Generator (STSG) is mechanically forgiving as the Helical-Tube SG (HTSG), but more compact and of easier manufacturing

R&D gap: uniform radial primary flow rate distribution in the bundle



HTSG of SPX1



Mockup of a STSG after testing at Saluggia **ENEA** lab



LFR-AS-200 main SG parameters

Number of SGs and Pumps	6
Outer diameter of tubes [mm]	18
Number of tubes	100
Steam Generator shell-side pressure loss [bar]	0.2
Active length of the tubes [m]	34

The self-sustaining core

Refuelling issue solved with Fuel Assemblies with extended stem

From:

Fuel Assemblies immersed in the melt handled by an in-vessel + ex-vessel **Refuelling Machine**

Fuel Assemblies with stem extended above the lead free level handled by an ex-vessel Refuelling Machine (•)

ADVANTAGES:

- No in-vessel Refuelling Machine
- No Above Core Structure
- No Diagrid
- No Strongback







SPX1



To:





The Amphora-Shaped Inner Vessel

From:

Inner Vessel, large 1 at top and smaller 2 at the bottom, containing **Shielding Assemblies** (and Breeding Assemblies)



Assemblies

Fuel: 364 Fertile: 233 Neutronic protection: 1264 Amphora-Shaped Inner Vessel (•), no Shielding Assemblies (and no Breeding Assemblies)

ADVANTAGES:

- **No Shielding Assemblies**
- **Reduced RV diameter**
- Increased availability
- Reduced waste inventory



To:





Assemblies Fuel: 61

Active/passive DHR systems





DHR1: Three water-steam loops passively operated with water as heat sink

Lead-water, double-wall bayonet-tube bundle heat exchanger at Brasimone site.

Improvements are being studied





Fukushima-like accident (complete station blackout, no active systems available)

- reactor in safe condition
- proper passive cooling
- structural integrity ensured





DHR2: Three lead loops passively actuated and operated with air as heat sink

Thermal expansion of the cold leg of the lead loop opens the louvers of the air coolers when lead temperature exceeds 400°C.

R&D gap: Alternative solutions are under exploration for research of simplicity

Active/passive shut down

Logics and operators backed up by passively actuated systems to shut down the reactor.

In a LFR there is a margin of hundreds K between the operating temperature and the safety limit, hence, e.g., thermal expansion can be used to open the core and shut down the reactor in case of failure of logics or of operator intervention.

Bi-metallic expansors open and shut down the core when temperature exceeds normal operating limits.







R&D gap: compromise between FA flexibility and seismic design



Passive shut down and passive DHR systems to face the Unprotected Loss Of **Offsite Power (ULOOP)**



Fast Reactors can be fuelled with waste of LWRs

Depleted uranium

Uranium from spent fuel

Plutonium

Minor actinides

Fast Reactors (with fuel reprocessing)

Electric energy

Fission fragments

One TWhe results in the production of 100 kg of fission fragments





Fast Reactors combined with fuel reprocessing can reduce radiotoxicity of the waste to be stored in geological repositories

Fuel cycle potential of LFR

A LFR can effectively act as:

Pu breeders or burners

MA breeders or burners





Transuranic masses at equilibrium for an **adiabatic** ELSY (600MWe LFR) (Artioli et al., 2010)

- Only natural or depleted Uranium as make-up fuel;
- Only fission fragments as nuclear waste

Element	Composition (%)
Uranium	81.94
Plutonium	17.18
Neptunium	0.08
Americium	0.64
Curium	0.16



Thank you

